

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,472	10/20/2003	Christopher S. Caldwell	RTI-5	7924
Browning Busi	7590 03/19/2007 hman P.C.		EXAM	INER
Suite 1800			MAYO, TARA L	
5718 Westhein Houston, TX 7			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3671	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/689,472	CALDWELL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tara L. Mayo	3671		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 29 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the fortime periods:	h ; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WIT TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if time may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion fee or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issue appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 	s for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-32 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cance non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation. 	-
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	<i>/</i> /// O/
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to prove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance beca See attached Response to Arguments.	use:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:	

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 29 January 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that the centralizer (74) and upset portion (72) of the device disclosed by Halkyard '205 are not prevented from relative movement, the Examiner contends the threads grippingly engaging the centralizer with the upset portion are capable of preventing relative movement between the centralizer and upset portion. While the centralizer and upset portion may be disengaged by force having a magnitude sufficient to overcome the engagement, the Examiner contends the same is true for any gripping engagement including that claimed by Applicant.

In response to Applicant's argument that the centralizer (94) and upset portion (92) of the device disclosed by Finn et al. '074 are not prevented from relative movement, the Examiner contends Applicant's discussion of Finn et al. '074 is not supported by the disclosure of the prior art. The centralizer of Finn et al. '074 permits rotation of the shaft (86), with which the centralizer is grippingly engaged via the upset portion, within a guide (82) and not rotation relative to the upset portion as purported by Applicant.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tara L. Mayo whose telephone number is 571-272-6992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,472

Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

tlm 15 March 2007

PATENT EXAMINER